



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT (COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,444	06/04/2001	Helmar-Steffen Gehrke	10191/1847	4385
75	90 05/20/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
One Broadway New York, NY	10004	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New Tork, 14 I	10004		2674	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/873,444	GEHRKE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	,			
		Kimnhung Nguyen	2674				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nuary 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideratio					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received ity documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	I. I in Application No been received in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) N Inform	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) The No(s)/Mail Date 6.		ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

This Application has been examined. The claims 1-10 are pending. The examination results are as following.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Palalau et al. (US patent 6,373,472).

Regarding claim 1, Palalau et al. disclose in figure 1, a method for allocating operating control functions (see driver control interface controls the values of features group switches in a vehicle, see figure 1) to operating communications controls of an electronic which is capable of executing functions of a plurality of electronic devices as switchable functions (see a plurality of features of group switches are located on a steering wheel, see abstract), the method comprising a predetermined number of operator controls (30, including 30a-30c) assigned to respective operating functions of each of the device (see 28 including audio, climate...); and selecting a device type (see switching 28) to be controlled by the operator controls among various device type (audio, climate,

Art Unit: 2674

navigation..., because the switch 28 can select audio, climate or navigation) the operating functions (fig. 9b) of the operator controls being changed corresponding to the selection (see figures 9a-9b).

Regarding claims 2-4, Palalau et al. discloses the operator controls using the play symbol (see figure 9B) and include the type of a telephone (see figure 9C) and therefore, the electronic devices are devices in motor vehicle luxury feature electronic.

Regarding claim 5, Palalau et al. disclose in figure 1, a control system comprising a plurality of operator controls (30, including 30a, 30b, 30c) a switching application of the control system among various device types to be controlled, wherein operating functions (see figure 9b); and a display (22) for indicating the type of device to be controlled and corresponding operating function parameters.

Regarding claims 6-7, Palalau et al. disclose that wherein the control system is an operating console at least partially integrated on to a steering wheel (see abstract, see figure 1, see groups of switches 28a-28f located on the steering wheel), and a selection switch for selecting a device type to be controlled.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/873,444

Art Unit: 2674

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palalau et al (US 6,373,472) in view of Levin et al. (US 6,686,911).

Palalau et al. disclose wherein the display (22) is an alphanumeric display for displaying in text form the selected type of the device to be controlled (see figures 7-8). However, Palalau et al. do not disclose wherein the selection switch is a rotary control with a plurality of selection settings corresponding to a plurality of device. Levin et al. disclose in figure 1, a control knob (18) is rotatable and to control functions of the device (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the using of the rotary control (knob) as taught by Levin into the system of Palalau et al. because this would allow the user to control a variety of types of devices, such as an audio device, video device, etc. (see abstract).

Response To Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 1-14-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of new ground rejection.

Applicant argues that Palalau et al. do not disclose "a selecting a device type to be controlled by the operator controls among various device types, operating functions of

Art Unit: 2674

the operator controls being changed corresponding to the selection". However, examiner respectfully disagrees with the argument because in figure 1, Palalau et al. disclose a selecting (28) controlled by operator controls (30) among various device types (28a-28f or audio, climate...).

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Art Unit: 2674

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen May 14, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600